

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/651,548	08/29/2000	Barry Atkins	RPS920000026US1	9903	
42640	7590 06/17/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
DILLON & YUDELL LLP 8911 NORTH CAPITAL OF TEXAS HWY			SHIN, KY	SHIN, KYUNG H	
SUITE 2110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
AUSTIN, TX	78759		2143		
			DATE MAILED: 06/17/200	· S	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	8N					
-	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	09/651,548	ATKINS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MANUAL DISTERS AND THE STATE OF THE STATE	Kyung H. Shin	2143				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. C (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 March 2005. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is responding to application filed 8/29/2000 and amended 3/14/2005
- 2. Claims 1 24 are pending. Claims 1, 3, 6, 7, 9, 11, 14, 15, 17, 19, 23 were amended. Independent claims are 1, 9, 17.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed 3/14/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
 - 3.1 Applicant argues that prior art does not disclose the situation (Applicant's Remarks: Page 10, lines 1-11) in which a key is revoked for usage by a Certificate Authority and the associated key stored within a data processing system is still usable by that data processing system during the Certificate Authority's time delay (Applicant's definition: "... time granularity problem ... ") in the processing of the revocation list.

Sudia discloses revoking of an association between a key (Applicant's definition: associated key) and a client (Applicant's definition: a data processing system) by placement of the linked certificate onto a certificate revocation list. (see Sudia col. 22, lines 51-63; col. 23, lines 4-7: Certificate Authority removes authority for client system to use key as part of revocation protocol) Marshall discloses the removal of key information from a client system. (see Marshall col. 2, lines 30-35: key information removed) The combination of Sudia and

Application/Control Number: 09/651,548 Page 3

Art Unit: 2143

Marshall discloses preventing usage of a key during the Applicant's time granularity limitation.

- 3.2 Applicant argues that the combination of Doonan and Sudia does not address limitations present within pending claims. The test for obviousness is not whether the features of a secondary reference may be bodily incorporated into the structure of the primary reference; nor is it that the claimed invention must be expressly suggested in any one or all of the references. Rather, the test is what the combined teachings of the references would have suggested to those of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). Furthermore, in response to applicant's arguments against the reference individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).
- 3.3 Examiner respectfully uphold the rejection of all claims, due to the fact that the combination of Doonan, Sudia, Cook and Marshall discloses the claims and limitations of applicant's invention.

Claim Rejection – 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/651,548

Art Unit: 2143

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

5. Claims 1 - 3, 6 - 11, 14 - 19, 22 - 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doonan et al. (US Patent No. 6,807,277) in view of Sudia (US Patent No. 6,009,177).

Regarding Claims 1, 9, 17 (currently amended), Doonan discloses a network messaging system. (see Doonan col. 1, lines 10-12: " ... present invention is directed to a secure electronic messaging system ... ") Doonan discloses a method, a system and program product for managing a user key used to sign a message for a data processing system, said method comprising:

- a) assigning a user key to a user and storing the user key in <u>an encrypted</u> data processing system <u>utilized to encrypt messages</u>; (see Doonan col. 2, lines 1-7: encryption key assigned by key server for message encryption)
- b) encrypting the messages with the user key; (see Doonan col. 2, lines 7-8: message is encrypted)
- c) storing an associated key in the <u>encrypting</u> data processing system and encrypting the user key with the associated key to obtain an encrypted user key; (see Doonan col. 5, lines 63-67; generate an encrypted user key for transmission)
- d) <u>said encrypting data processing system</u> communicating <u>at least one</u> encrypted messages <u>together</u> with the encrypted user key <u>to a recipient system in order</u> to

<u>permit validation of</u> an association of the user with the encrypted messages <u>by the</u> <u>recipient system</u>; (see Doonan col. 6, line 1: encrypted message and encrypted key are transmitted to recipient)

- f) computer usable media bearing said control program. (see Doonan col. 3, lines 9-12; col. 9, lines 33-44: software exists on computer readable medium for program execution)
- e) Doonan discloses a check on the validation of a sender's credentials. (see

 Doonan col. 5, lines 16-20: sender credentials are verified) Doonan does not
 specifically disclose using a certificate authority (trusted third party) for key
 validation and determination of key revocation. However, Sudia discloses
 preventing validation of the association of the user with messages by revoking the
 associated key at the encrypting data processing system (see Sudia col. 22, lines
 51-63; col. 23, lines 4-7: access revocation list to determinate whether certificate
 (attached key) is valid)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Doonan to invalidate the association key when the status of the association key has been revoked as taught by Sudia. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Sudia in order to enable a trusted third party for a flexible and independent network key management system. (see Sudia col. 10, lines 23-25: "... provide a commercial key escrow system that uses private keys that may be changed by the user at will or at regular intervals ... "; col. 11,

Application/Control Number: 09/651,548

Art Unit: 2143

lines 15-23: " ... a system of certificate management ... very flexible and independent of location and time ... escrowing a private decryption key and receiving an escrow certificate ... registering a trusted device with a trusted third party and receiving authorization from that party enabling the device to communicate with other trusted devices ... ")

Regarding Claims 2, 10, 18 (original), Doonan discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 1, 9, 17, further comprising:

- a) decrypting the user key with the associated key; (see Doonan col. 6, lines 1-3: encrypted key is decrypted)
- b) decrypting the messages with the user key. (see Doonan col. 6, lines 1-3: encrypted message is decrypted)

Regarding Claims 3, 11, 19 (currently amended), Doonan discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 1, 9, 17, wherein: the encrypting data processing system further comprises a client system and a server system coupled for communication, said client system (see Doonan col. 3, lines 9-12: network connected client (sender) and server system) having a client memory device and said server system having an encryption chip and a server memory device:

a) storing the user key further comprises storing the user key in the client memory device; (see Doonan col. 9, lines 44-47: memory area used for data and workspace storage)

- b) storing the associated key further comprises storing the associated key in the server memory device; (see Doonan col. 5, lines 4-5; key is stored at server system database)
- Doonan discloses a check on the validation of a sender's credentials. (see Doonan col. 5, lines 16-20: sender credentials are verified) Doonan does not specifically disclose using a certificate authority (trusted third party) for key validation and determination of key revocation. However, Sudia discloses preventing validation further comprises preventing validation of messages associated with the user by eliminating the associated key from the server memory device. (see Sudia col. 22, lines 51-63; col. 23, lines 4-7: access revocation list to determinate whether certificate (attached key) is valid)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Doonan to invalidate the association key when the status of the association key has been revoked as taught by Sudia. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Sudia in order to enable a trusted third party for a flexible and independent network key management system. (see Sudia col. 10, lines 23-25; col. 11, lines 15-23)

Regarding Claims 6, 14, 22 (currently amended), Doonan discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 1, 9, 17, further comprising: encrypting the associated key by using an encryption chip key which is stored on an

encryption chip of the <u>encrypting</u> data processing system. (see Doonan col. 2, lines 3-8: encryption key transferred to sender system)

Regarding Claims 7, 15, 23 (currently amended), Doonan discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 6, 14, 22, further comprising: communicating an encrypted associated key to validate the association of the user with the encrypted messages. (see Doonan col. 5, lines 63-67:)

Regarding Claims 8, 16, 24 (original), Doonan discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 7, 15, 23, further comprising: decrypting the associated key with the encryption chip key. (see Doonan col. 6, lines 1-3)

6. Claims 4, 12, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doonan-Sudia as applied to claims 1, 3 above, and further in view of Cook (US Patent No. 6,732,101).

Regarding Claims 4, 12, 20 (original), Doonan does not disclose a server system to receive, encryption and forward message. However, Cook discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 3, 11, 19, wherein encrypting the messages further comprises:

 a) sending the messages to be encrypted from the client system to the server system; (see Cook col. 2, lines 19-23: send message from client to server for encryption)

- b) encrypting the messages using the encryption chip of the server system; (see Cook col. 2, lines 51-55: encrypt message)
- c) sending the encrypted messages from the server system to the client system. (see Cook col. 2, lines 51-55: deliver encrypted message to recipient (client) system)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Doonan to receive, encrypt and forward a message utilizing any encryption algorithm as taught by Cook. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Cook in order to enable a flexible and strengthened encryption system. (see Cook col. 2, lines 33-38: " ... Messages can be encrypted using any available encryption means at the sender and sent to a forwarding service. The forwarding service can forward the message to each recipient according to the recipient's decryption capability and preference. ... ")

7. Claims 5, 13, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Doonan-Sudia-Cook as applied to claims 1, 3, 4 above, and further in view of Marshall (US Patent No. 4,888,800).

Regarding Claims 5, 13, 21 (original), Doonan-Sudia-Cook does not disclose the ability to erase key information after processing of an encrypt message. However, Marshall discloses the method, system and program product according to Claims 4, 12, 20, further comprising: erasing from the server system all data relating to the encrypted

messages after the encrypted messages are sent from the server system to the client system. (see Marshall col. 2, lines 30-35: key information is erased from system)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Doonan to erase all key related information after message processing maintaining only current information as taught by Marshall. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ Marshall in order to enable a flexible and strengthened network key management system. (see Marshall col. 1, lines 50-58: "... system has the advantage ... only to maintain the keys required for whatever current communication sessions ... a pair of session keys ... every time a link or session is requested ... ")

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kyung H Shin whose telephone number is (571) 272-3920. The examiner can normally be reached on 9 am - 7 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

长 H S Kyung H Shin Patent Examiner Art Unit 2143

KHS June 12, 2005

> BUNJOB JAROENCHONWANIT PRIMARY EXAMINER